## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/678,989	KIM ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Rudy Zervigon	1792	

	Rudy Zervigon	1792			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 20 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
. M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of thi application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) Me period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is late no event, however, with the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
					Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date whave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of valunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above, if checket. Any reply re-ceived by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(e).					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed)</li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	he issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		,	,		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will not be entered, or b)  will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is for will be as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>7-9 and 22-26</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because					
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:					
	/Rudy Zervigon/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1792			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has substantially amended independent claim 22. The Examiner believes addressing the amended claim would require a reconsideration of the applied rejections which may include changing the pending 102(b) rejection into a 103(a) rejection assuming there exists motivation. Further, an updated search would also have to be conducted if the added claim limitations is not taught by the cited prior art.